

Application Number	Address	Planning Application Details	Parish Council Comment	RBWM Planning Decision	RBWM Extra Details
Jul-26					
26/00864	Callally Whitmore Lane Ascot SL5 0NA	Single storey side extension, new steps, 1no. chimney, 1no. outbuilding ancillary to the main dwelling with pergola, PV panels, 1no. ASHP, alterations of the existing terrace and to fenestration following demolition of existing elements.	The parish council OBJECTS to this application as it contravenes: •Borough Local Plan QP5 •National Planning Policy Framework section 13	Application permitted 5 June 2026	The extended outbuilding would remain subordinate to the main dwellinghouse. As such, and notwithstanding the floor area calculations, the proposal, when viewed holistically, would not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original dwelling and is therefore appropriate development in the Green Belt in accordance with exception 154c of the NPPF.
26/00807	56 - 78 Beverley Court Cedar Drive Sunningdale Ascot	Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to the building to provide x4 additional dwellings.	The parish council OBJECTS to this application. The reasons for objection are set out in the letter below. https://sunningdale-pc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/26_00807-10-Beverley-Court-Cedar-Drive.pdf	Prior Approval Required and Refused 17 June 2026	the external appearance of the resulting building, by reason of its height, mass, scale and design, would appear unduly prominent in the street scene and would be inconsistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and out of keeping with the locality in general and would fail to add to the overall quality of the area, The proposal fails to meet the minimum space standards set out in the Nationally Described Space Standards (March 2015)
26/00808	56 - 78 Beverley Court Cedar Drive Sunningdale Ascot	Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to the building to provide x4 additional dwellings.	The parish council OBJECTS to this application. The reasons for objection are set out in the letter below. https://sunningdale-pc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/26_00807-10-Beverley-Court-Cedar-Drive.pdf	Prior Approval Required and Refused 17 June 2026	the external appearance of the resulting building, by reason of its height, mass, scale and design, would appear unduly prominent in the street scene and would be inconsistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and out of keeping with the locality in general and would fail to add to the overall quality of the area,
26/00809	26 - 54 Beverley Court Cedar Drive Sunningdale Ascot	Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to building to provide x5 additional dwellings.	The parish council OBJECTS to this application. The reasons for objection are set out in the letter below. https://sunningdale-pc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/26_00807-10-Beverley-Court-Cedar-Drive.pdf	Prior Approval Required and Refused 17 June 2026	the external appearance of the resulting building, by reason of its height, mass, scale and design, would appear unduly prominent in the street scene and would be inconsistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and out of keeping with the locality in general and would fail to add to the overall quality of the area,
26/00810	26 - 54 Beverley Court Cedar Drive Sunningdale Ascot	Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to building to provide x5 additional dwellings.	The parish council OBJECTS to this application. The reasons for objection are set out in the letter below. https://sunningdale-pc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/26_00807-10-Beverley-Court-Cedar-Drive.pdf	Prior Approval Required and Refused 17 June 2026	the external appearance of the resulting building, by reason of its height, mass, scale and design, would appear unduly prominent in the street scene and would be inconsistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and out of keeping with the locality in general and would fail to add to the overall quality of the area, The proposal fails to meet the minimum space standards set out in the Nationally Described Space Standards (March 2015)