

Application Number	Address	Planning Application Details	Parish Council Comment	RBWM Planning Decision	RBWM Extra Details
--------------------	---------	------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------

Planning June 2024

24/00601	Dorchester House Rise Road Ascot SL5 0AT	Two storey side extension with 1no. front canopy, 1no. front dormer and alterations to fenestration.	The Parish Council objects to this application as it contravenes: • BLP / QP3 Character and Design of New Development • NP / DG2 Design, footprint, separation, scale, bulk	Application permitted 10 May 2024	the current proposal is not considered to be out of keeping with the scale of development in the surrounding area and is more subordinate than that which was approved in 2020.... The submitted drawings show a design which is in keeping with the existing property in terms of roof shape, materials and fenestration. As such, the extension is not considered to harm the host dwelling, the character of the area or streetscene The Planning Officer's report for the approved application considered there to be no significant harm caused to the amenities of neighbouring properties.
24/00700	7 Churchfield Cottages Bedford Lane Sunningdale Ascot SL5 0NN	Single storey rear extension and first floor rear/side extension.	The Parish Council objects to this application as it contravenes: • NP / DG2 Design, footprint, separation, scale, bulk Furthermore, the application falls within the Green Belt and contravenes NPPF paragraph 147. "Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances." There is no documentation to demonstrate special circumstances.	Application refused 21 May 2024	The proposal would result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building and is therefore inappropriate development in the Green Belt Accordingly, the application is contrary to Policy QP5 of the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead Borough Local Plan (Adopted February 2022) and paragraph 154 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023). The proposal is considered to comply with Local Plan Policy QP3. The proposed single storey rear extension would be modest in height and width and would not be considered to harm the character of the host dwelling or wider locality.
24/00505	Wisley Wood 5 Holly Hill Drive Ascot SL5 0FF	Single storey rear extension.	The Parish Council objects to this application as the application falls within the Green Belt and contravenes NPPF paragraph 147. "Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances." There is no documentation to demonstrate special circumstances. Furthermore the Parish Council requests that RBWM refers this application to the Borough's Arboricultural Officer to examine the trees in detail	Application refused 30 May 2024	The proposed extension, by reason of its siting and size, would result in further incursion of development , (over and above the existing dwelling on site), into the root protection area of an Oak tree , (shown as T5 on the submitted drawings), to the detriment of its health. In addition, due to its siting and size, the proposed extension would be significantly overshadowed by trees T5 and T4, (a Beech tree), which would likely lead to future pressure to lop and/or fell these trees. RBWM did not refuse the application in regards to further development in Green Belt for the following reasons The original planning permission, 18/00356/FULL, did not remove any Permitted Development Rights in respect of dwellings in Holly Hill Drive. The application site is located within the Green Belt. Paragraph 154 of the NPPF states that a local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as inappropriate in the Green Belt, with the exception of inter alia, the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building. Accordingly, the proposal would not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building and is therefore not inappropriate development in the Green Belt