| Application | Address | Planning Application Details | Parish Council Comment | RBWM Planning Decision | RBWM Extra Details |
|-------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Number | | | | | |
| 24/00006 | Charlecombe Hall And Cottage At Charlecombe Hall Chanctonbury Drive Ascot SL5 9PT | Construction of 2 No. Detached dwellings with accommodation in roof space, detached garages with storage in roof space, gates and piers and associated works following demolition of 2 No. dwellings and detached garages. | The Parish Council objects to this application as it contravenes: NP/DG3.3: Parking should be designed so that it fits in with the character of the proposed development. Considerations should include: Garages designed to reflect the architectural style of the house they serve Garages set back from the street frontage Parking located in between houses (rather than in front) so that it does not dominate the street scene In this application the garages are clearly in front of the houses - the garages are, also, large in size and therefore dominate the street scene. The parish council requests that RBWM refers this application to the Borough's Arboricultural Officer to examine the tree reort in detail. | Application refused 04 March 2024 | The sitting, scale and massing of the proposed dwellings and front garages is considered to result in a cramped and contrived layout that would be visually incongruous and dominant relative to the existing development on-site and wider area. (Policy QP3 of the Local Plan (2022), NP Policy DG2 and Section 12 of the NPPF (2023)) Due to the lack of sufficient supporting ecological information, it cannot be conclusively determined if any habitat for protected species are present on and around the site and what impact the proposed development would have (he proposal is considered to be contrary to paragraph 186(a) of the NPPF (2023) and Policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan (2022)) No information has been submitted in relation to site's existing and resultant biodiversity value to establish that the proposed development will result in a net gain to biodiversity (contrary to Paragraph 180(d) of the NPPF (2023) and Policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan (2022).) No legal agreement has been provided to secure the carbon offset contribution for the scheme to |
| 24/00233 | Meadow View Bedford Lane Sunningdale Ascot SL5 ONP | T1 - Oak - Crown reduction by 1 - 1.5m leaving a final height of 16m and spread of 8m, Crown thinning by 15% and remove 2 limbs as shown on photograph (001/1957/TPO). | application to the Borough's Arboricultural Officer to | Application refused 22 March 2024 | "This is the third application in 6 months for similar works to a mature oak tree of significant amenity. The proposed works will be detrimental to the health and appearance of the tree." "Under the previous applications 23/01591/TPO and 23/02640/TPO which were refused, the crown reduction was more extensive. However, the proposed works under this application will still remove the majority of leaf bearing branches/twigs, as most of the the leaves are on the periphery of the crown so as to capture sunlight for conversion to energy via photosynthesis" |
| 23/01056 | Land Adjacent To Manor House London Road Sunningdale Ascot | Detached dwelling | The parish council objects to this application. The reasons for objection are set out in the letter below 23_01056-Manor-House-London-Road-Sunningdale.pdf | ; Application permitted 27 March 2024 | the proposed development would comply with Policy QP5 of the Local Plan and Section 13 of the NPPF. Therefore, the proposal is considered to be appropriate development in the Green belt |
| 24/00267 | Littlebrook House Earleydene Ascot SL5 9JY | First floor front extension with gable, single storey rear extension and alterations to fenestration. | The parish council has no comment to make on this application. | Application refused 26 March 2024 | In the absence of satisfactory ecological information being submitted, it has not been demonstrated that the proposed development has taken into account the possibility of bats, a protected species, being on the site, and how the impact on them could be mitigated. As such, the proposal is contrary to Section 15 of the NPPF and policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan. |