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26th June 2020

Dear Adam,

**20/01242/FULL Sunningdale Manor Titlarks Hill Sunningdale Ascot SL5 0JD**

**Replacement dwelling, relocation of tennis court and alteration to existing vehicular access.**

The Parish Council note the comment in the Design & Access (D&A) statement that this application is identical to 17/01778, except that the owner now seeks new permission with the intention of the application now being ‘self build’.

However, there is an updated arboricultural report that now updates the status of a number of the trees on the site and would indicate that this new application is not identical to the extant scheme. Given the size of the site, its position and the large numbers of trees on site as well as the intention to now proceed under the ‘self build’ umbrella the Parish Council would advise the Tree Team to strongly consider applying an overall TPO status to this site. As the arboricultural statement says ‘**there is no site TPO’** in place.

The Parish Council have concerns that the total number of individual trees planned for removal (as cited in the current report) totals 48 trees. Additionally, there are a further 8 groups of trees destined to be felled where the total number of trees is not indicated. It is likely therefore that the total number of trees to be felled on this one site could well exceed 75 trees.

Some of the noteworthy updates and revisions to the arboricultural report (in comparison to the previously submitted report) are as follows:

**Section 10**

Trees T104 to T106 are in good condition but they are growing in an **‘unsuitable position’.** Felling trees because they are in an unsuitable position at this stage of the planning application on this site is not thought to be acceptable.

T12 Red Oak, condition declined. Should be removed

**Section 12**T32-Plum- history of limb failure. Is this a satisfactory reason for felling?  
  
**Section 14**T54 Douglas Fir, stem decay- fell  
T122 Douglas Fir - fell

**Section 21**2 x silver birches (T37 & T38). New footpath is to be constructed using ‘no dig’ method of construction

**Section 22**  
To the rear of the property and to the north and east it is intended to remove a number of trees in order to implement an extensive landscaping scheme.  
Hence the number and type of trees planned for removal is not stated.  
  
**Section 23**New proposals necessitate removal of Magnolia T123a, small and distorted specimen  
  
**Section 24**Connection to drain may require excavation of T27 English Oak

**Trees to be Felled on the Site**  
The following trees have been identified in the arboricultural report for removal or consider removal:

5,6,7,10a,12,14,17,23,24,26,29,30,31,32,36,38,39,40,47,50,51,53a,54,68,69,70,72,75,76,77,78,79,  
80, 85,88a,94,97,101,102,103,108,115,119,120,121,122,123a,125.

**Groups of trees to be felled on site**

Groups 3, 5,6,7,8,8a,9,15

**Summary**  
Given the change in status to a ‘Self Build’ application, planned felling of approximately 75 trees and no site TPO in place, the Parish Council request that this application is **REFUSED** and the Tree Team review the TPO status as well as review trees planned for felling.

Regards

Yvonne Jacklin and Michael Burn

Co-Chairs of Planning